

Adam Watts

From: Jennifer Margetts
Sent: 27 March 2019 19:48
To: Adam Watts
Cc: Dana Nickson
Subject: FW: HCRC Objection to 2018/3803

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

-----Original Message-----

From: Karen Liddell [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 March 2019 12:03
To: 'Andrew Roberts' [REDACTED]
Cc: k [REDACTED], Jennifer Margetts <[REDACTED]>, 'Ray Townsend'
[REDACTED]
Subject: RE: HCRC Objection to 2018/3803

Hi Andrew

I'd didn't realise you were to discuss the access road in the context of the park and riverside open space. I'd like to have come but can't on Wednesday as I'm doing volunteer work in a school.

Hopefully there will be revised drawings in by Monday and we can discuss by email. The point I made to Jennifer is that we have had a long term objective to open up the park to views from the road and any new open space. Plus to get rid of the barrier and make the pedestrian entrance more user friendly, hopefully relocating the vehicular maintenance access point. I have made this point in meetings at the Palace, at the exhibition in conversation with Rob Lane, and again at the meeting in September led by Your Shout. This proposal keeps the whole length of the disgusting red brick wall to the right of the Lutyens Obelisks (part of the listed bridge which is not attached), and probably dates from the 1950's, maybe the back wall to the single storey café building, it is covered in graffiti, has no capping, has a boarded up window opening, is falling down basically. Please inspect this wall. I consider it forms the boundary of the JB site, and belongs to Alexpo, not the Council. It should be demolished. That's the principle to start from. Instead we have a road that rises up by 1.2m compared to the level at the barriered entrance forming a visual hump and a pedestrian obstacle course. Plus a new wall with a balustrade that we have no elevation details for, in front of the rubbish wall leaving a dead space (In James Owns words a left over area). This is not a design solution to a piece of prime river frontage open space. It does not achieve our objective in any way, it misses an opportunity and creates a hazardous area with a physical constraint that cannot be designed at a detail conditions stage as it is dictated by an underground car park.

If there is any chance that this application might be recommended for approval, there should be a notwithstanding the layout and design of the riverside open space and any related features beneath, a full design should be submitted and approved taking into consideration the objective to link this space to Cigarette Island Park, following consultation with HRP & HCRC.

Kind regards

Karen
16 Summer Road KT8 9LS

PS Jennifer can you ask for this email to be treated as a late objection please

